# ShotCaller: An Automated Target Placement Algorithm to Streamline Spatially Fractionated Radiation Therapy Treatment Planning

Arjun Karnwal<sup>1,2</sup>, Arthur J. Olch<sup>2,3</sup>, Hualin Zhang<sup>2,3</sup>, and Kenneth Wong M.D.<sup>2,3</sup> <sup>1</sup>University of Southern California, Alfred E. Mann Department of Biomedical Engineering <sup>2</sup>Radiation Oncology Program, Children's Hospital of Los Angeles, <sup>3</sup>Department of Radiation Oncology, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California

#### Introduction

- Spatially Fractionated Radiation Therapy (SFRT) has gained popularity in the last decade due to its low toxicity and increased response rate compared to traditional uniform dosage treatments
- SFRT involves the placement of high dose cores (vertices/spherical targets) within bulky tumors, where high doses of radiation will be applied
- Clinicians often have key considerations when planning for SFRT:
- Target Center to Center Distance
- Distance to nearby organs (OARs)
- $\circ~$  Distance to tumor boundary
- Radius of targets
- There is also a (speculated) benefit to maximizing the number of targets within the arbitrary tumor volume for most effective treatment
- The placement of vertex targets is usually a time-consuming and error-prone process. In fact, approximately 80% of the total treatment planning time is spent arranging the vertices in a favorable manner: maintaining a reasonable sphere-to-sphere distance, placing the maximum number of spheres, ensuring that spheres do not obstruct others from reaching radiation beams, and maintaining a peak-to-valley dose ratio as high as reasonably possible (>2)
- The purpose of this study is to develop an automated algorithm that can assist in SFRT treatment planning, by optimizing the arrangement of targets for a given tumor volume

## Methods

- MATLAB, C# and the Eclipse Scripting Application Programming Interface (ESAPI) were used to develop the automated script
- The script features a graphical user interface (GUI), which has user selectable parameters for key geometric constraints and treatment goals (Figure 1)
- Using a King of the Hill Monte Carlo Style approach (Figure 2 flowchart), the algorithm iteratively searches for the best (defined by bias) arrangement of targets that fit the clinics goals.
- Current Bias Options:
- Max number of spheres
- Farthest distance to OAR
- Most/least planes used for targets
- Closest to center of PTV

Structure Name: 
Volume of Structure: N/A
Sphere Center (nm): 40
Sphere Radius (nm): 10
Sphere Edge To Boundary minimum (mm): 10

## Figure 1. User Selectable parameters in GUI



**Figure 2.** King of The Hill Monte Carlo Style Algorithm Logic

## Figures



**Figure 3.** Results of algorithm bias on vertices Left: Max number of spheres Right: Bias towards center of PTV



**Figure 4.** ShotCaller (yellow spheres) compared to SFRTHelper (red spheres) on atypical volumes.



**Figure 5.** ShotCaller producing both 2D and 3D targets for both GRID and LATTICE therapy

## Results

- For a typical SFRT case (25 vertices), MDs/dosimetrists will usually take -2 hours to optimize the vertice placement
- For the same volume, ShotCaller takes ~4 minutes
- ShotCaller produced 0 geometric placement violations for all volumes
- The script has already saved significant amounts of time for both patients and clinicians





**Figure 6.** Vertices generated by ShotCaller (yellow) and human operators (red) for an SFRT use case

## **Conclusions and Next Steps**

- Algorithms used in ShotCaller have successfully helped clinicians to treat bulky tumors with LATTICE therapy
- As a result of clinical practice, more features were proposed by clinicians as our next development phases of ShotCaller:
- A. Replace "King-of-the-Hill" Monte Carlo with Al
- B. Display dosage ratios (D5/D95)
- C. Edge cases  $\rightarrow$  OAR inside tumor
- D. User interface upgrades / multithreading
- E. 2D (GRID) Therapy
- F. Collaborators welcome!



USC Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center Keck Medicine of USC