BACKGROUND

- Operating room fires occur to at least 650 patients annually, resulting in at least two to three deaths per year.
- 3 essential components involved in the creation of the fire: an oxidizer, an ignition source, and a fuel; known as the "fire triad."
- Dental surgeries, as well as other oropharyngeal procedures, are considered especially "high-risk" to experience surgical fires
- All three aspects of the fire triangle are present, and in close proximity to one another, during any dental procedure

PURPOSE

Determine and compare the **times required** to generate oxygen concentration levels above 21% as well as the effectiveness of the **DryShield[®]** isolation system (DS), the surgical Yankaeur suction tip (YS), and high-volume evacuator (HVE) in decreasing oxygen levels using a simulated oral cavity to replicate the oral environment of a pediatric patient receiving 3L/min and 5L/min during dental surgery under deep sedation/GA.

Results from this research will attempt to practitioners performing dental provide treatment utilizing supplemental oxygen with a more thorough understanding of the contributing risks and parameters at which surgical fires can likely occur. Through this, practitioners have the tools and knowledge to prevent such occurrences and improve both patient and provider safety.

METHODS

Testing protocol phase one. Oxygen supplementation of 3 L/min (experimental group 1) and 5 L/min (experimental group 2) was provided for 5 minutes without any suctioning periods. Oxygen readings were recorded at 10 second intervals. 5 minutes were used to complete each trial. **Testing protocol phase two:** Oxygen supplementation with suctioning periods. The following protocol periods were completed for experimental groups 2-8: (1) Period one (oxygen supplementation period). Oxygen supplementation was provided at the predetermined rate (3 L/minute: experimental groups 3-5 or 5 L/min: experimental groups 6-8) with the appropriate suction device in place. Oxygen supplementation and O_2 readings began at time zero (readings continued to be recorded at 10 second intervals). This oxygen supplementation period was carried out for 2 minutes. (2) Period two (first suction period). At 2 minutes, an oxygen reading was recorded and the suction turned on. Oxygen readings at 10 second intervals continued for the next 1 minute. (3) Period three (second oxygen supplementation period). At 3 minutes, an oxygen reading was recorded and the suction was turned off. Oxygen concentration readings at 10 second intervals continued for the next 1 minute. (4) Period four (second suction period). At 4 minutes, an oxygen reading was recorded and the appropriate suction turned on again. Oxygen readings at 10 second intervals were continued for the next 1 minute.

IABLES AND FIGURES																
<u>Experiment</u>	al <u># of</u> <u>Trials</u>	<u>Suction Tip</u> <u>in Place</u>	<u>Suction Periods</u> <u>Included?</u>	<u>Supplemental</u> O ² Flow Rate		100										
1	10	None	No (O ₂ supplementation for 5 minutes w/o suction)	3 L/min		90									•••••	
2	10	None	No (O ₂ supplementation for 5 minutes w/o suction)	5 L/min		80						/	(and	44 ¹¹		
3	20	DryShield[®] Isolation System (<u>positioned</u> to be centered within the "oral opening" of the testing unit)	Yes (4-step sequence w/ intermittent suction)	3 L/min		70							/			
4	20	Surgical Yankauer Suction (anterior tip of suction positioned 20 mm into "oral opening" of testing unit)	Yes (4-step sequence w/ intermittent suction)	3 L/min		e o						/				
5	20	High-Speed Dental Suction (anterior tip of suction positioned 20 mm into "oral opening" of testing unit)	Yes (4-step sequence w/ intermittent suction)	3 L/min		oncentra 20										
6	20	DryShield[®] Isolation System (<u>positioned</u> to be centered within the "oral opening" of the testing unit)	Yes (4-step sequence w/ intermittent suction)	5 L/min		O nagen C										
7	20	Surgical Yankauer Suction (anterior tip of suction positioned 20 mm into "oral opening" of testing unit)	Yes (4-step sequence w/ intermittent suction)	5 L/min		30										
8	20	High-Speed Dental Suction (anterior tip of suction positioned 20 mm into "oral opening" of testing unit)	Yes (4-step sequence w/ intermittent suction)	5 L/min		20										
		SUPPLEMENTAL OXYGEN	FIMELINE			20										
0:00		2:00 3:00	4:00	5:00		10										
⊙ Start O₂ (5 or 3 L/min)		€ START STOP Suction Suctio	© START n Suction	STOP Suction		0	0:00	0:10	0:20	0:30	0:40	0:50	1:00	1:10	1:20	1:30

REFERENCES

Effects of Supplemental Oxygen Concentrations and Suction Methods on Oral Surgical Fires: an in vitro study

Anne Clark, DDS • LaQuia Vinson, DDS, MSD, MPH, BS • James Jones, DMD, MS, MSD, EdD, PhD • Mark Saxen, DDS, PhD • Allison Scully, DDS, MS, FAAPD Indiana University School of Dentistry, Indianapolis, Indiana – Riley Hospital for Children



Average O2 Concentrations by Suction & O2 L/min



_____ DryShield + 3 L/min O2 _____ DryShield + 5 L/min O2 ——— Ya nkau er + 3 L/m in O2 Ya nkauer + 5 L/min O2 —— HSE + 3 L/min O2 —— HSE + 5 L/min O2 ••••• NO Suction + 3 L/min O ••••• NO Suction + 5 L/min O2

RESULTS

1) The slope in increase of oxygen concentration was <u>significantly</u> higher for all trials with 5 L/min of oxygen supplementation without suctioning periods compared to trials with 3 L/min.

2) In trials with oxygen supplementation of *3 L/min* and the use of suctioning periods, no significant <u>difference</u> was found in the slope of decrease in oxygen concentration during suction from the high volume evacuation, DryShield®, and Yankauer suction.

3) In trials with oxygen supplementation of 5 *L/min* and the use of suctioning periods,, the slopes of decrease in oxygen concentration from the high volume evacuation and DryShield® were significantly faster than with the Yankauer suction (p<0.05).

CONCLUSIONS

1) If 3 L/min of oxygen supplementation is able to be used, rather than 5 L/min or higher, the oral airway fire risk is significantly decreased.

2) The DryShield[®] isolation system was found to be just as efficient as the high volume evacuation in decreasing oxygen concentrations, with the HVE and DS proving to be more efficient than the YS. Therefore, the use of DS or HVE is recommended for reducing pooled oxygen to safe levels.

3) All three suctioning methods were efficient in decreasing oxygen concentrations to safe levels (<30%) within 1 minute and decreasing oral airway fire risk with oxygen supplementation of both 3 L/min and 5 L/min.

