

BACKGROUND

Dental caries remains a prevalent global health concern, particularly among pediatric and adolescent populations, where occlusal surfaces account for most carious lesions. The application of pit and fissure sealants in children and adolescents has long been established as an effective preventive measure that reduces caries for up to 48 months, as opposed to unsealed teeth.¹ Traditional mechanical preparation using a fissurotomy bur has been advocated to enhance sealant retention, by improving mechanical interlocking.² However, promising findings suggest that laser treatment offers a non-invasive and patient-friendly approach, which may be beneficial for young and anxious patients. Laser irradiation may modify enamel crystallinity, reduce microleakage, and improve acid resistance – factors that could contribute to superior sealant retention and longevity.³

PURPOSE

This study aims to evaluate the retention of pit and fissure sealants applied after two different enamel preparation methods: Solea laser treatment by Convergent Dental[™] versus a fissurotomy bur preparation.

METHODS

In this retrospective cohort study at a private pediatric dental office, data were collected from electronic patient records using Open Dental Software for patients seen 2/13/2017 – 12/20/2022. Patients were identified by searching for dental sealants using CDT procedure code D1351 for the primary provider of the practice. Retrospective data were analyzed for incidence of caries and presence of staining for three follow-up visits after application of the sealant. Exclusion criteria included sealants placed with poor isolation, glass ionomer (GI) sealants, and uncooperative or semi-cooperative behavior.

Treatment of Occlusal Pit and Fissure Sealants on Permanent Molars with the Solea Dental Laser

SARA ARDEHALI, DDS, ALEXANDER ALCARAZ, DMD, ANDREA PINNICK GAMBLE, DDS HERMAN OSTROW SCHOOL OF DENTISTRY OF USC | ADVANCED PEDIATRIC DENTISTRY

> Charts of 244 patients met the inclusion criteria (114 males and 127 females). A 70% power analysis was conducted at a 5% significance level.

> Demographic and baseline characteristics are presented using mean and standard deviation or median and interquartile range for continuous variables, and frequency and percentage for categorical variables (Table 1).

Va	ria	b	e

Variable	Total (N=241)
Age, median(IQR)	7 (6 – 9)
Sex	
Male	114 (47.3%)
Female	127 (52.7%)
Hypomin	
No	197 (81.7%)
Yes	44 (18.3%)
Treatment	
Fissurotomy	143 (59.3%)

Laser

Table 1 Demographic and baseline characteristics on initial visit.

	Fissurotomy treatment			Laser treatment		
	n=161		Hypomin, n=38		No hypomin, n=97	Hypomin, n=17
Caries						
count						
0	129,	-	27, 71.1%	-	-	17,
	80.1%			98.2%		100.0%
1	23,	15,	8, 21.1%	2, 1.8%	2, 2.2%	0, 0.0%
	14.3%	12.2%				
2	6, 3.7%	5, 4.1%	1, 2.6%	0, 0.0%	0, 0.0%	0, 0.0%
3	3, 1.9%	1, 0.8%	2, 5.3%	0, 0.0%	0, 0.0%	0, 0.0%

Table 2 Number of caries at dental visit level by treatment and hypomineralization.

Due to insufficient number of caries cases in both hypomineralized and non-hypomineralized cohorts receiving laser treatment, the effect of laser treatment on caries incidence could not be analyzed based on hypomineralization status (Table 2).

RESULTS

98 (40.7%)

Var

Tre

carles.

Patients who received laser treatment had a statistically significant lower incidence of caries compared to those with standard fissurotomy treatment. Laser treated teeth had a 94% lower incidence of caries as compared to fissurotomy-treated teeth (Table 3).

This study demonstrates that molars treated with the Solea laser prior to sealant application exhibit significantly fewer dental caries compared to those treated with standard fissurotomy bur preparation. However, the findings are limited by the sample size of hypomineralized teeth in both treatment groups. This research aims to enhance our understanding of the characteristics of dental sealants placed with the Solea dental laser, their impact on dental health, and the longevity of sealants over time. As the field of preventive dentistry continues to evolve, incorporating laser technology into routine clinical protocols could offer a minimally invasive and biologically conservative approach that enhances the durability and performance of sealants. In conclusion, laser treatment shows promise as an adjunct in caries prevention, reinforcing existing literature on its protective effects. Future research should explore long-term outcomes and evaluate effectiveness across diverse patient populations to establish clinical guidelines for broader implementation.

RI	EFERE
1.	Ahov
	Sealar
2.	Atilar
	laser e
3.	Geige
	level.



Herman Ostrow School of Dentistry of USC

riable	IRR	95% CI	Ρ
atment			
issurotomy	Ref		
aser	0.06	0.01,	<0.0001
		0.26	

Table 3 The effect of laser treatment on incidence of

CONCLUSION

ENCES

vuo-Saloranta, A., Forss, H., Walsh, T., Hiiri, A., Nordblad, A., Mäkelä, M., & Worthington, H. V. (2013). ints for preventing dental decay in the permanent teeth. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 3. n Yavuz, S., Erturk Avunduk, A. T., Karatas, O., Çakır Kılınç, N. N., & Delikan, E. (2024). Impact of acid and etching of enamel on microleakage in different adhesive systems. Lasers in Medical Science, 39(1), 181. er, S. B., Gulayev, S., & Weiss, E. I. (2000). Improving fissure sealant quality: Mechanical preparation and filling *Journal of Dentistry*, 28(6), 407–412.