

Association between caregiver opposition to topical fluoride and dental radiographs

Kerry Hope O'Bannon Lee, DDS, Travis Nelson, DDS, MSD, MPH, Donald Chi, DDS, PhD, Peggy Lee, BDS, MSD, PhD, Joshua Orack, Sai Aung, Huy Hoang



University of Washington School of Dentistry, Department of Pediatric Dentistry

BACKGROUND

A variety of radiographic techniques—such as bitewing, periapical, panoramic, cephalometric, CBCT, and dental MRI—are essential in dentistry for diagnosing and monitoring conditions like caries, periodontal disease, dentofacial growth and development, craniofacial anomalies, oral pathologies, and treatment outcomes. Despite their clinical value, dental radiographs expose patients to ionizing radiation, which poses greater risks for children due to their increased radiosensitivity. To minimize radiation exposure, the ADA and AAPD recommend radiographs only when clinically necessary, following the ALARA principle, and utilizing modern safety techniques. Caregiver hesitancy may arise, especially given updated guidelines advising against routine use of thyroid and abdominal shielding.

Although caregiver hesitancy regarding vaccines and fluoride has been well documented, little is known about the underlying reasons for dental radiograph refusal. This study aimed to examine the association between caregiver opposition to topical fluoride and opposition to dental radiographs and to identify the most common reasons for radiograph opposition.

PURPOSE

The **primary** objective of this study was to examine the association between caregiver opposition to topical fluoride and opposition to dental radiographs. The **secondary** aim was to identify reasons for dental radiograph opposition.

METHODS

The study was conducted at six pediatric dentistry clinics: The University of Washington Center for Pediatric Dentistry (UW CPD), Seattle Children's Hospital (SCH), Seattle Children's Hospital Odessa Brown Children's Center (OBCC), Oregon Health & Science University Hospital (OHSU), Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center (CCHMC), and Children's National Hospital (CNH). English-speaking caregivers of children aged <18 years were eligible to participate. A 108-item webbased survey was administered from February to November 2024. The survey included questions on oral health knowledge, beliefs and reasons for opposition to topical fluoride and dental radiographs. The goal of these questions was to understand why caregivers were opposed to topical fluoride and dental radiographs.

The predictor variable was topical fluoride opposition(no/yes) and the outcome was dental radiograph opposition (no/yes). Confounder-adjusted logistic regression models were used to assess the association.

RESULTS

- Nine-hundred-sixty-nine caregivers were included in the study.
- Mean child age was 7.4 years (SD 4.19), mean caregiver age was 40.6 years (SD 8.29).
- Eighty-one percent of caregivers were female, 53% self-reported as white, and 56% were insured by Medicaid.
- Thirty-eight percent of caregivers were opposed to topical fluoride and 31% were opposed to dental radiographs (Figure 1). There was a significant positive association between topical fluoride opposition and dental radiograph opposition (Odds Ratio = 7.04, 95% CI: 4.72-10.65, p < 0.001).
- Among caregivers opposed to radiographs, the top three reasons for dental radiograph opposition were concerns about unknown future harm (58%), radiation build-up in the child's body (51%), and cancer risk (47%).
- Key facilitators for caregivers opposed to dental radiographs were trust in dentist's recommendations for x-rays (89%) and using x-rays to assess permanent teeth (90%).

Caregiver Opposition to Topical Fluoride 38% 62% Opposed to topical fluoride: n=252 Not opposed to topical fluoride: n=419 Total participants: n=671 Caregiver Opposition to Dental Radiographs 431% Opposed to radiographs: n=211 Not opposed to radiographs: n=211 Not opposed to radiographs: n=460 Total participants: n=671

Figure 1 Percentage of Caregivers Opposed to Topical Fluoride and Dental Radiographs

DISCUSSION

- In this study, 38% of caregivers opposed topical fluoride and 31% opposed dental radiographs; those who opposed fluoride were significantly more likely also to oppose radiographs.
- The most common **concerns** about **dental radiographs** included: **unknown future harm, radiation accumulation,** and **cancer risk**.
- Trust in the **dentist's recommendation** was the most cited facilitator of **radiograph acceptance**.
- Hesitancy towards one preventative measure may extend to others, reflecting broader skepticism toward other preventative dental procedures.
- Many caregiver concerns stem from misconceptions about radiation, despite dental X-rays delivering doses far lower than medical imaging or natural background exposure. Educational efforts should use everyday comparisons and highlight modern safety measures (ALARA, rectangular collimation, patient positioning).
- Screening for fluoride hesitancy may help identify broader patterns of
 preventive care resistance. Tailored education, integrated messaging, and
 alternative diagnostic and preventive options for those still opposed to topical
 fluoride and radiographs may support shared decision-making and improve
 acceptance.

CONCLUSION

- Caregivers opposed to topical fluoride in dental settings were significantly more likely to oppose dental radiographs for their children.
- These findings emphasize the need for targeted interventions and education focusing on common reasons for opposition.
- Improved messaging has the potential to increase acceptance of preventative dental treatments and reduce barriers to early diagnosis and treatment of oral health conditions

ACKLOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the University of Washington and the National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research (Grant No. R01DE02674)